







Request for Information (RFI) Response Form

Ministry of Financial and Economic Management, Infrastructure Cook Islands and Asian Development Bank

Muri and Titikaveka Flood Management Works (Rarotonga, Cook Islands)

And

Pa Enua Water Security Works

In response to Request for Information Date of this Response: 6th June 2025

1. About the Respondent

1.1 General Intent and Declaration

Please confirm that you are interested in participating in the procurement process for this contract.		
Yes □		
No		
If yes, in what capacity would you act:		
Materials Supplier (National)		
Materials Supplier (South Pacific)		
Local Civil Contractor		
International Civil Contractor		
Supply chain management		
Other (describe below)		
If no, please tell us why.		

1.2 Respondent's Point of Contact

Item	Detail
Organisation name:	
Business number:	
Address:	
Contact person:	
Position:	
Contact number:	
Email address:	
National ref	

2. Response to the Requirements

2.1 Our credentials					
Your companies sector experience.					
Stormwater works nationally					
Stormwater wo	rks across the So	uth Pacific			
General civil we	orks nationally				
General civil we	orks across the So	outh Pacific			
Water networks	s and / or plumbin	g nationally			
Water networks	s and / or plumbin	g across the Sout	h Pacific		
Materials suppl	ly nationally				
Materials suppl	ly across the Sout	h Pacific			
Works experience	ce – Number of Proj		years in the Pacific		
	<\$500,000 (NZD)	<\$2,000,000 (NZD)	<\$5,000,000 (NZD)	<\$10,000,000 (NZD)	>\$10,000,000 (NZD)
Stormwater / Flooding Projects	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]
General Civil	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]
Materials supply	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]	[Add No.]
Country Experies	nce				
Cook Islands					
South Pacific					
Other					
[List other countries if applicable]					
Client Experience					
Government of the Cook Islands					
Asian Development Bank					
Other international donor agency					
[List international donors if applicable]					
Other					
[List other client the respondent believes are relevant if applicable]					
Financial Capacity (average gross annual turnover for last 5 years)					
Less than NZD\$1,000,000 □					
Between NZD\$1,000,000 and NZD\$2,000,000 □					
Between NZD\$2,000,000 and NZD\$5,000,000 □					

Between NZD\$5,000,000 and NZD\$10,000,000	
Between NZD\$10,000,000 and NZD\$20,000,000	
Between NZD\$20,000,000 and NZD\$50,000,000	
Greater than NZD\$50,000,000	
Insurance and Bond Capacity	
Provide the maximum value of value of performance bond that the respodne a contract.	ent would typically supply for
[Provide value and currency]	
Provide the maximum value of contract works insurance that the respondencentract.	t would typically supply for a
[Provide value and currency]	
Provide the maximum value of third party insurance that the respondent work contract.	uld typically supply for a
[Provide value and currency]	
Does the respondent hold standard level of insurance cover and Bond cape source insurance and bonds specifically for each project?	ity, or does the respondent
[Provide response]	
Does the respondent have any other comments or concerns regarding potenthe provision of insurances and / bonds for the delivery of these projects?	ntial requirements around
[Provide response]	
Please provide examples of similar projects you have successfully delivered recentle contract used (i.e. FIDIC or other) and contract value (USD\$).	ly, including the form of
Please list the type of management plans the respondent has experience in creating	g.
Construction Management Plan (CMP)	
Site Specific Safety Plan (SSSP)	
Construction Environmental and Social Management Plan (CESMP)	
Sediment and Erosion Control Management Plan Environment (SECMP)	
Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) Management Plan	
Respond to 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 if the respondent would consider tendering	for any part of the

'Muri and Titikaveka Flood Management Works'

2.2	Procurement timelines
Please	e comment on the proposed procurement timelines and bid period.

2.2 Procurement timelines
Please tells us about information that should be provided in advance of a bid that you feel would assist in making a quality bid submission?
2.3 Delivery Approach
Please comment on the proposed delivery model (Separate material supply contract and splitting physical works into different work types); suggested areas for responses include:
the respondents financial and management capacity to deliver all work in one package
the respondents maximum contract size the minimum commercial visibility size of contract for the respondent.
 the minimum commercial viability size of contract for the respondent the benefits / disbenefits of splitting the packages
the use of the FIDIC form of contract for the physical works
the programme / sequence of contracts
2.4 Risks and opportunities
Are there any risks that you consider should be addressed to allow a successful tender process, in your opinion, how could these be best managed or mitigated?
Are there any interface risks (with other Contract packages) that you are particularly concerned about and, in your
opinion, how could these be best managed or mitigated?
Are there any other things that MFEM / ICI / ADB could do to increase the likelihood of your participation in the
tender process?

2.4 Risks and opportunities
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Are there any other matters or concerns that you wish to raise?
Respond to 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 if the respondent would consider tendering for any part of the
'Pa Enua Water Security Works'
2.5 Procurement timelines
Please comment on the proposed procurement timelines and bid period.
Please comment on the use of Merit Point Criteria as a way of evaluating bids for each contract package.
Please tells us about information that should be provided in advance of a bid that you feel would assist in making
a quality bid submission?
2.C. Delivery Approach
2.6 Delivery Approach
Please comment on the proposed delivery model (Separate material supply contract and splitting physical works
into different work types); suggested areas for responses include:
the respondents financial and management capacity to deliver all work in one package
the respondents maximum contract size
the minimum commercial viability size of contract for the respondent
the benefits / disbenefits of splitting the packages
the use of the FIDIC form of contract for the physical works
the programme / sequence of contracts

2.7 Risks and oppo	ortunities
Are there any risks that you how could these be best	ou consider should be addressed to allow a successful tender process, in your opinion, managed or mitigated?
	sks (with other contract packages) that you are particularly concerned about and, in your be best managed or mitigated?
And there are a thin and thin	a that MACAM / IOL / ADD accorded to increase the likelihood of very manticipation in the
tender process?	s that MFEM / ICI / ADB could do to increase the likelihood of your participation in the
Are there any other matter	ers or concerns that you wish to raise?
3. Declarati	on
I have been authorised	to submit this response on behalf of [Enter respondent Company]:
Signature:	
Full name:	
Title / position:	
Date:	

2.6 Delivery Approach